IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Notice of Motion No.407 of 2007
in
Notice of Motion No.263 OF 2007
in
Review Petition (L) No.26 of 2007
in
Writ Petition No.1466 of 2006.

Lal Mohammed Nabi Shaikh ..Petitioner

versus

The Estate of Manager-V ..Respondent.

Mr.Balkrishna Shetty for the Petitioner

Mr.Milind Morey, AGP for Respondent

Coram : F.I.Rebello &
S.R.Sathe, JJ.
Dated : 16th August, 2007

P.C.

1. Against the judgment passed by this Court, dated 19.7.2006 in Writ Petition No.1266 of 2006 the applicant herein who was the petitioner, had filed an application for review along with application for condonation of delay. When the above matter came up before us on 31.7.2007, as none was present, both motion and review petition were dismissed.

2. We have considered the arguments advanced on behalf of the applicant herein. From the record it is clear that the respondent MHADA was agreeable to

regularize occupation of the applicant/petitioner on producing NOC from the original tenant. The Original tenant did not produce the NOC, but on the contrary challenged the order passed in favour of the petitioner herein. That was allowed. Petitioner's, review applicant, have been unable to show any ground warranting a review.

3. Considering the above, in our opinion, no case is made out. Hence, both Motion and Review application are dismissed with no order as to costs.

(F.I.Rebello, J.)

(S.R.Sathe, J.)